UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,826	05/17/2006	Alberto Colombi	39994-231143	5739	
	26694 7590 06/09/2009 VENABLE LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3438	-	RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ			
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/579,826	COLOMBI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William A. Rivera	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) □ objected to by the beginning of the drawing of the drawing of the drawing of the objected is required if the drawing objected is required in the objected in the objected is required in the objected in the objected in the objected is required in the objected in the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati writy documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/17/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite. On line 9, the phrase "first fastening means are arranged displaced towards" is unclear. Are the first fastening means arranged or displaced?

Claim 3 is vague and indefinite. On lines 2-3, the claims sets forth "at least three bodies". What is the difference between "the at least three bodies" and "the at least one body" set forth in Claim 2, lines 4-5? Is the at least one body part of the at least three bodies or are there four bodies? Further what is the difference between the "partitioning septum" set forth on line 7 and the "partitioning septum" of Claim 2, line 8?

Claim 7 is vague and indefinite. On line 12, the phrase "first fastening means are arranged displaced towards" is unclear. Are the first fastening means arranged or displaced?

With respect to Claim 10, line 2, the word "In" should be replaced with the word --in--.

Claim 15 is vague and indefinite. On line 13, the phrase "first fastening means are arranged displaced towards" is unclear. Are the first fastening means arranged or displaced? On line 17, the term (180") should be replaced with the term --180°--.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Page 3

record does not teach or suggest the entire combination of elements of the system for retaining paper rolls of different width set forth including a positioning element suitable for being rotated through 180° about a vertical axis of symmetry from said first position, in which said first narrow roll is retained by means of said first side of said element, to said second position, in which said second broad roll is retained by a second side, opposite said first side, of said positioning element, and vice versa. None of the references of the prior art teach or suggest rotating a positioning element 180 degrees about a vertical axis to accommodate different sized rolls as advanced above and such do not provide the necessary motivation, absent applicant's specification, for modifying the system in the manner required by the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A. Rivera whose telephone number is 571-272-6953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday - 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,826 Page 4

Art Unit: 3654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Q. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-6952. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William A Rivera/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3654

June 6, 2009